

MiDA - PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION UNIT ADDENDUM 1

Section III Qualification and Evaluation Criteria

Criteria, sub-criteria, and point system for the evaluation of Technical Proposals.		
ITC 23.1	Criteria, sub-criteria	Points
	1. Organizational Capability and Experience of the Consultant	
	<p>Evidence of organizational capability and relevant experience in the execution of projects of a similar nature, including the nature and value of the relevant contracts, as well as works in hand and contractually committed provided in Form TECH-4. The evidence shall include successful experience as the prime consultant in the execution of at least 2 projects of a similar nature and complexity during the last 5 years.</p> <p>In accordance with the MCC Program Procurement Guidelines, the Consultant's past performance on MCC-funded contracts will be considered as a criterion in the MCA Entity's evaluation of the Consultant's Technical Proposal.</p> <p>The MCA Entity reserves the right to contact the Form Tech-5 References as well as other sources to check references and past performance.</p>	[2]
	Proven experience in the provision of general Program and Project Management and Project Administration support, including Construction Management Oversight and Contract Management Oversight for Project infrastructure activities.	[6]
	Proven experience in the provision of Design Review Process Oversight in respect of all designs related to Infrastructure Projects.	[2]
	Proven experience in the provision of general IT and Business Systems support, with a focus on Utility Commercial and Business Systems (GIS, MMS, ERP, OMS, and Data Centre for ECG and CIS).	[2]
	Proven experience in the provision of guidance and support in addressing Infrastructure Project – related environmental (ESH&S) and RAP issues.	[2]
	Total Points for This Criterion	[14]
	2. Approach, Methodology and Work Plan	

	Proposed approach, methodology, and work plan demonstrates a clear understanding of the contract requirements and appreciation for the work required in all the technical requirements of the Terms of Reference.	[8]
	Proposed project organization and staffing provides a clear, logical and appropriate staffing pattern with responsibilities among different staff positions adequately defined, including which staff/Expert is designated to each of the key Project Areas, whilst working in Ghana.	[9]
	Proposed approach and methodology for developing effective systems to manage and accelerate Compact implementation.	[12]
	Total Points for This Criterion	[29]
	3. Key Professional Personnel Qualifications for the Assignment	
	Team Leader	[13]
	Education, including knowledge of English	[3]
	Demonstrated successful experience and past performance in accomplishment of similar projects.	[8]
	Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa or Developing Countries.	[2]
	EFOT Expert	[11]
	Education, including knowledge of English	[3]
	Demonstrated successful experience and past performance in accomplishment of similar projects.	[6]
	Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa or Developing Countries.	[2]
	NFOT Expert	[11]
	Education, including knowledge of English	[3]
	Demonstrated successful experience and past performance in accomplishment of similar projects.	[6]
	Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa or Developing Countries.	[2]
	IT and Business Systems Expert	[11]
	Education, including knowledge of English	[3]
	Demonstrated successful experience and past performance in accomplishment of similar projects.	[6]
	Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa or Developing Countries.	[2]
	ESH&S Expert	[11]
	Education, including knowledge of English	[3]
	Demonstrated successful experience and past performance in accomplishment of similar projects.	[6]
	Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa or Developing Countries.	[2]
	Total Points for This Criterion	[57]
	Total Points for All Criteria	100

	The minimum technical score S_t required to pass is	[80 Points]
	If none of the scores awarded by the TEP reach or exceed the minimum technical score (S_t), the MCA Entity reserves the right to invite the Consultant receiving the highest technical score (S_t) to negotiate both its Technical and Financial Proposals. If the negotiations fail to result in an acceptable contract within a reasonable time, the MCA Entity reserves the right to terminate the negotiations, at its sole discretion, and to invite—again, at its sole discretion—the Consultant receiving the next highest technical score (S_t) to negotiate both its Technical and Financial Proposals.	
ITC 24.10	The formula for determining the financial scores is the following: $S_f = 100 \times F_m / F$, in which S_f is the financial score, F_m is the lowest price and F the price of the Proposal under consideration. The weights given to the Technical and Financial Proposals are: $T = [85]$ and $F = [15]$	